

THE STRUCTURED DIALOGUE IN SWEDEN

How Sweden has conducted the structured dialogue, what advances we have made and future challenges!

QUICK THROWBACK

WHAT HAVE WE DONE?

- Conducted national consultation during the late winter and early spring.
- Reached directly around 600 young people through survey.
- Talked to representatives for 70 000 young people.
- Produced a report named “Alla Dessa Jävla Löften” (All these bloody promises).
- Met with the Minister of Youth Affairs.

LSU – THE BASIS FOR EVERYTHING

- LSU is the National Council for Swedish Youth Organizations.
- Through LSU member organisations (MOs) we get a network that spans the whole of Sweden.
- LSU has an extremely diverse member base, including organization such as Swedish Association of Youth Council, Swedish Youth Unions, The Scouts and Swedish Dog Youth.
- LSU also administer all work regarding the structured dialogue.





You Have The Power – The Voice Journey Through EU

The infrastructure by which we tried to increase our reach out to young people in Sweden through social media and branding

THE YOUTH REPRESENTATIVES

- The Youth Representatives are central to the Swedish Structured Dialogue
- Two representatives with a mandate stretching for one and a half year
- The mission for the Youth Representatives is to:
 - Represent Sweden at the European Union Youth Conference (EUYC)
 - Lead the Structured Dialogue in Sweden with LSU
 - Conduct the National consultation
 - Prepare all policy document for the EUYC and other conferences
 - Meet national Stakeholders
- The Youth Representatives sits in National Working Group (NWG)



GRAPHIC REPRESENTATION OF THE STRUCTURE OF THE STRUCTURED DIALOGUE IN SWEDEN



REACHING THE YOUTH OF SWEDEN

- Previously mentioned survey reached 600 people. Using a survey is good because we can reach a lot of people. However we cannot integrate them in our work!
- Something new: The EU-ambassadors! Young people who represent us in their municipality and spread knowledge about the structured dialogue in their municipality. Our “henchmen”!
- Travelled to Stockholm and meet national stakeholders and to Brussel and met MEPs.
- Future plans for the EU-ambassadors is to integrate them in the national consultation. We want them to as well facilitate a dialogue between young people and local stakeholders!



WHAT ARE WE DOING RIGHT?

- We are starting to achieve a good balance between quantitative data as the survey and qualitative data as meeting and discussion with LSUs MOs.
- We include our MOs and through that we empower different youth NGOs to participate in the structured dialogue.
- We have worked up an effective structure with a good balance between different stakeholders in the process such as the Youth Representatives and LSU.
- Reached an high political impact in Sweden for such a narrow topic.



WHAT COULD WE BE DOING BETTER?

- Lack of representation! The way we conduct the national consultation today is far too narrow. We miss out on several marginalised groups of young people such as working class, LGBT-youths, young people with a migration background and different kinds of minorities. If we want to speak for all of Sweden's young people, these groups have to be reached and consulted!
- We lack a strategic way to work on a regional level. Right now we only work on a national and local level. Perhaps this is enough? Or maybe the EU-ambassador will be the remedy for this problem?
- Facilitate more meetings between young people and decision makers!





**MAKTEN ÄR DIN
RÖSTRESAN GENOM EU**

